Week 5: Expected value and Betting systems

Random variable A random variable represents a "measurement" in a random experiment. We usually denote random variable with capital letter X, Y, \cdots . If S is the sample space of the experiment then to each $i \in S$ the random variable X assigns a certain value α (a real number). The random variable is described by its probability distribution

$$P(X = \alpha)$$

for all possible values α that the random variable can take. Of course we have

$$0 \le P(X = \alpha) \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{\alpha} P(X = \alpha) = 1$

Example: If you roll a pair of dice consider the random variable

$$X = \text{sum of the two dice}$$

Then X takes values $2, 3, \dots, 12$ and P(X = 2) = 1/36, P(X = 3) = 2/36 etc..

Expected value of a random variable For a random variable X the expected value of X is the average value of X which we denote by E[X]. It is given by

Expected value of
$$X: E[X] = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha P(X = \alpha)$$

Chuck-a-luck This game (found in fairgrounds) is played by rolling 3 dice and betting on a number between 1 and 6. You win your bet multiplied by the number of times your chosen appear on the three dice. For example if you bet \$1 on 5 and roll 4, 5, 5 you win \$2. A quick look at this game may make it appear reasonably fair. Since you roll 3 dice and there seems to be a probability 1/2 that your chosen number appears and so the odds should be in your favor. For a second look let us compute your expected gain E[W] at this game. Suppose you bet on five, the probability to get 3 fives is $(1/6)^3$, the probability to get 2 fives is $3(1/6)^2(5/6)$, etc.... and we obtain

$$E[W] = 3P(3 \text{ fives}) + 2P(2 \text{ fives}) + 1P(1 \text{ five}) - 1P(0 \text{ five})$$
$$= 3 \cdot \frac{1}{216} + 2 \cdot \frac{15}{36} + 1 \cdot \frac{75}{36} - 1 \cdot \frac{125}{216} = -\frac{17}{216} = -.079$$

That is you loose around 8 cents on the dollar at this game.

Example: Expected gain at roulette. At the Las Vegas roulette (with 38 numbers, 0,00,1,2,3, etc) you can do various bets (let's say the bet size is \$1).

- 1. Bet on red (or black) and a successful bet pays you \$1.
- 2. Bet on a number and a successful bet pays you \$35.
- 3. Bet on the first (or the second, the third) dozen of numbers a successful bet pays you \$2.
- 4. etc · · ·

You can find the list of all bets and payouts for Las Vegas and Monte-Carlo roulette at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roulette

You may wonder which of these bets is the more advantageous and so we compute the expected gain E[W] for each bet

Red
$$E[W] = 1 \cdot \frac{18}{38} - 1\frac{20}{38} = -\frac{2}{38} = -0.0526$$

Number $E[W] = 35 \cdot \frac{1}{38} - 1\frac{37}{38} = -\frac{2}{38}$
Dozen $E[W] = 2 \cdot \frac{12}{38} - 1\frac{26}{38} = -\frac{2}{38}$

All these bets (and all the other ones) are devised to give the same odds. It does not matter how you play, you shall loose on average around 5.3 cents for each dollar you bet.

If you bet on a group of n numbers then the payout is

Payout for a bet on *n* numbers =
$$\frac{36}{n} - 1$$

and for such a bet the expected gain is

$$E[W] = \left(\frac{36}{n} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{n}{38} - 1 \cdot \frac{38 - n}{38} = \frac{36}{38} - 1 = -\frac{2}{38}$$

Keno 10 spot card Recall that in Keno the casino draws 20 numbers randomly out of 80 numbers. In a m spot card you pick m numbers and if k of your m numbers match the casino numbers you have a "catch of k". We have

$$P(\text{catch of } k) = \frac{\binom{10}{k}\binom{70}{20-k}}{\binom{80}{20}}$$

The probability and payouts for Keno vary a bit from place to place: for a 10 spot card the payouts by the Massachusetts lottery are (see http://www.masslottery.com/games/keno.html for all the payouts)

Match	Payout
10	100'000
9	10'000
8	500
7	80
6	20
5	2
0	2

So for a bet of 1 dollar the expected amount paid by the lottery is

$$E[W] = 100000 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{10}\binom{70}{10}}{\binom{80}{20}} + 10000 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{9}\binom{70}{11}}{\binom{80}{20}} + 500 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{8}\binom{70}{12}}{\binom{80}{20}} + 80 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{7}\binom{70}{13}}{\binom{80}{20}} + 20 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{6}\binom{70}{201}}{\binom{80}{20}} + 2 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{5}\binom{70}{15}}{\binom{80}{20}} + 2 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{5}\binom{70}{15}}{\binom{80}{20}} + 2 \cdot \frac{\binom{10}{5}\binom{70}{20}}{\binom{80}{20}} = 0.0112211 + 0.0612064 + 0.0677096 + 0.1288914 + 0.2295878 + 0.1028553 + 0.0915814 = 0.6930534$$

$$(1)$$

The lottery keeps then more than 30 cents (!) of each dollar played on Keno and the number above tells you where the payout are. For example 22 cents on a dollar are given as payout for a catch of 6 while only about one cent as payout for a catch of 10, and so on..

The martingale betting system. Let us explain this betting system by an example. You just receive the news that you inherited from a long lost relative the nice sum of $\$2,550,000 = (2^8 - 1) \cdot 10,000$). You move immediately to Atlantic city and devise the following gambling scheme. Every month you go to the craps table and bet \$10,000. If you win, you just won \$10,000 and you quit and live off your money for a month. Now if you loose play again you double your bet to \$20,000. If you win your second bet then your net win is \$20,000 - \$10,000 = \$10,000. Again if you loose you double you bet, etc.... The martingale betting system consists then of doubling your bet until your first win. In any case if you win at your k^{th} bet then your net gain is

$$10'000 \left[-1 - 2 - 2^{k-1} + 2^k \right] = 10'000$$

using the geometric series $1 + x + \cdots + x^{k_1} = \frac{1-x^k}{1-x}$ with x = 2. So with the martingale betting system, you do win 10'000 every time.

If you have unlimited resources (and if the casino has no betting limit) you could in principle make money using subfair games. But of course none of this condition is true. Suppose that like in our example you can bet at most n bets in a row before running out of money. If the probability to lose any single game is q then

Probability to lose everything
$$= q^n$$

since to lose everything you need to loose n times in a row. Let us compute the expected gain W playing the game this way. We have

$$E[W] = 10'000 \cdot (1 - q^n) - (2^n - 1)10'000 \cdot q^n = 10'000 \left[1 - (2q)^n\right]$$

If n=8 and the game were fair q=1/2 then the probability to lose everything on a single month is 1/256=0.0039 and the expected gain is 0. If you play craps for which q=251/295 then the probability to lose everything 0.0044 and the expected gain is -\$1188.92 which is abut %11 of your bet size, which is not very good. To compare various n note that for craps

so actually the more money you have to play the martingale strategy, the more you lose on average. The idea behind this betting (and many other) betting system is to make sure that you win (a little) with high probability and to make you forget that when you eventually lose, you do lose a lot.

To analyze the martingale betting a bit better, let us compute how many times, on average, should one play to see n loss in a row. We shall do this using a first order difference equation. We define

$$x(n) =$$
 Expected number of games until you lose n times in a row

Let us start with x(1): if the first game is a loss (with probability q) then x(1) = 1, while of the first game is a win(with probability p), then the expected number of games until 1 loss will be 1 + x(1) so that we have the equation

$$x(1) = 1 \times q + (x(1) + 1) \times p$$
.

which is easily solved to give $x(1) = \frac{1}{q}$. For x(n) let us concentrate what happen after we have sustained n-1 loss in a row (this took x(n-1) games on average). If we lose (with

probability q) then we need x(n-1)+1 games to loose n in a row. But if we win that game, we start afresh and it will take then x(n-1)+1+x(n) games to reach n losses. That is we have the equation

$$x(n) = [x(n-1)+1] \times q + [x(n-1)+1+x(n)]p$$

which gives the difference equation

$$x(n) = \frac{1}{q}x(n-1) + \frac{1}{q}.$$

with the initial condition x(1) = 1/q. Using the methods in Chapter 3 we find the solution

$$x(n) = \frac{1}{p} \left[\left(\frac{1}{q} \right)^n - 1 \right].$$

For craps we get for example

So if you play at roulette 500 hundred time, you should expect to loose 8 times in a row! In the martingale betting system during a single game at the casino, you play until you win once, so it take on average 1/p games to achieve that. So on average you will visit the casino $(1/q)^n - 1$ times (227 times with n=8) before you go bust. You could as well spend \$10,000 every month and your money will last longer, namely 255 weeks.

The coupon collecting problem. The following problem appears in many different forms. Imagine that in every cereal box there is a free toy. Toys come in n different types and are all equally likely to be put in any box. The goal being to collect all n toys, how many cereal boxes will you have to buy, on average?

In order to do this let us consider a geometric random variable N: imagine a series of independent bets, each resulting in a success with probability p. The geometric random variable N is equal to n if your first success occurs on the nth bet. So we have

$$P{N = n} = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

The expected value of N is

$$E[N] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(1-p)^{n-1} p.$$

To compute this series recall the geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = (1+x+x^2+x^3+\cdots) = \frac{1}{1-x}$ which holds for |x| < 1. If we differentiate with respect to x we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^{n-1} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}.$$

Using this we find that

$$E[N] = \frac{1}{(1 - (1 - p))^2} p = \frac{1}{p}.$$

Returning to the coupon collecting problem we let T be the total number of boxes needed to collect the n toys and we write it as

$$T = T_1 + T_2 + \cdots + T_n$$

where

 T_i = number of boxes needed to obtain the i^{th} after having collected i-1 toys

We have $T_1 = 1$, T_2 is a geometric random variable with probability $p = \frac{n-1}{n}$ to find a new coupon in any box, T_3 is geometric with $p = \frac{n-2}{n}$, and so on. So we find

$$E[T] = E[T_1 + T_2 + \dots + T_n] = E[T_1] + \dots + E[T_n]$$

$$= 1 + \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2} + \dots + \frac{n}{1} = n(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n})$$

As we learned in calculus the geometric series $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \approx \ln(n)$ for large n and so we have

$$E[T] \approx n \ln(n)$$
.

We get for example